



*Advising the Congress on Medicare issues*

# Accountable care organizations

David Glass, Jeff Stensland  
March 12, 2009

# Why Medicare may want ACOs

---

- Medicare needs mechanisms for controlling cost growth and improving quality
  - Constraining FFS rates, readmissions, other policies
  - ACOs could help control volume growth by tying bonuses and penalties to overall Medicare spending
- Objectives
  - Delivery system reform; improve care coordination and collaboration
  - Tie payments to quality and resource use
  - Achieve a sustainable Medicare spending growth rate
  - Allow physicians and hospitals to share in efficiency gains

# Our ACO concept

---

- Physicians and a hospital have joint responsibility for the quality and cost of care delivered to a population of patients
- Bonuses for high quality and low cost growth
- Penalties for low quality and high cost growth

Note: Cost growth is the rate of increase in overall Medicare spending per beneficiary assigned to ACO

# ACO size and incentives

---

- ACOs need to be large enough so that changes in quality and resource use could be measured with some confidence (at least 5,000 patients)
- Problem: ACO incentives for individuals to restrain volume may be too small to overcome FFS incentives
- Opportunity: Incentives for joint actions could be large enough to overcome FFS incentives and constrain capacity growth
  - Change patterns of care
  - Savings from constraining capacity will take time
- Capability for ACO to make joint decisions

# FFS incentive overpowers ACO incentive for an individual's decision

Effect of an ACO on a decision to:

Order an MRI  
image

MRI revenues	\$500
Costs (marginal)	100
Profit (marginal)	400
Medicare spending	500
Effect on ACO bonus	$-\$500 \times .5 \times .8 =$ -\$200
Net incentive	<b>+ 200</b>

Note: assumes probability of earning a bonus is .5, share in savings is .8

# Joint decisions could limit capacity

Effect of an ACO on a decision to:		
	Order an MRI image	Sign annual lease for MRI machine
MRI revenues	\$500	\$500,000
Costs (marginal/annual)	100	450,000
Profit (marginal/annual)	400	50,000
Medicare spending	500	250,000
Effect on ACO bonus	$\$500 \times .5 \times .8 =$ \$200	$-\$250,000 \times .5 \times .8 =$ -\$100,000
Net incentive	<b>+ 200</b>	<b>- 50,000</b>
Note: assumes probability of earning a bonus is .5, share in savings is .8		



# Illustrative bonuses and penalties based on performance over three years

## Quality over 3 years

		Meets target all 3 years	Mixed	Fails target all 3 years
Cost over 3 years	Meets target all 3 years	Return withhold + share of savings (i.e. bonus)	Return withhold	Withhold not returned (i.e. penalty)
	Mixed	Return withhold	Return withhold	Withhold not returned (i.e. penalty)
	Fails target all 3 years	Return withhold	Return 1/2 withhold	Withhold not returned (i.e. penalty)

# Potential method of setting ACO-specific Medicare spending targets

	ACO spending			
	National average	Low	Average	High
Base spending	\$10,000	\$7,000	\$10,000	\$12,000
\$ target growth	500	500	500	500
Target spending	\$10,500	\$7,500	\$10,500	\$12,500
% target growth	5.0%	6.3%	5.0%	4.2%

Assumption: Wage index = 1, risk score = 1



# Example of a bonus/penalty calculation

ACO Quality	ACO base spending per capita	Target spending Year X	Actual FFS billing Year X	Withhold (10% of FFS)	Bonus (80% of savings)	Net Medicare payment
High	\$7,000	\$7,500	\$7,000	\$700 (returned)	\$400	\$7,400
Low	7,000	7,500	8,000	800 (not returned)	0	7,200

- High quality ACO can slow FFS billing growth (volume) and increase net income
- Medicare reduces payments for poor quality care

# Two possible ACO paths

---

## 1. Voluntary ACO

- Need to be attractive to providers
- Most designs are bonus only (e.g. Fisher, CBO)
- Providers have to be organized to participate

## 2. Mandatory ACO

- Poor quality and rapid spending growth can be penalized
- Incentive to organize
- $\text{Spending} = \text{FFS rates} \times \text{volume}$ 
  - ACO still paid national FFS rates
  - Voluntary: weaker volume incentive → Lower FFS rate
  - Mandatory: stronger volume incentive → Higher FFS rate

# PGP demonstration

---

- Voluntary, big practices (avg. 20,000 patients)
- Improved quality
- No Medicare savings to date
- Changes in design could improve prospects for savings

# Strengthening ACO design

---

- Target known in advance
- Information flow to providers
- Permanence of incentives
- Involvement of other payers
- Withholds in addition to bonuses
- Measuring performance over three years

# ACO issues for discussion

---

- Should ACOs be used to constrain volume growth?
  - Penalties for no reduction in volume growth?
  - How should bonuses and penalties be structured?
- Which path: voluntary or mandatory?